



Optimalization of NaOH concentration in alkaline lysis method on quality and quantity of *Candida albicans* DNA

Nasya Desinta Putri¹, Endah Prayekti¹

¹ Prodi D-IV Medical Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Health, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia

Correspondence

Nasya Desinta Putri

Address, Jl. Raya Jemursari No. 51-57, Jemur Wonosari, Kec. Wonocolo, Surabaya, East Java (60237)

Email: nasyadesinta001.nk20@student.unusa.ac.id

Received: 2024-05-21

Revised: 2025-03-19

Accepted: 2025-03-19

Available online: 2025-05-08

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.53699/joimedlabs.v5i2.235>

Citation

Putri Nasya D., Prayekti Endah. (2025). Optimalization of NaOH Cocentration in Alkaline Lysis Method on Quality and Quantity of *Candida albicans* DNA. Journal of Indonesian Medical Laboratory and Science, 6(1), 17-25. <https://doi.org/10.53699/joimedlabs.v5i2.235>

Abstract

Background: *Candida albicans* was a major caused of invasive candidiasis whose discovery by culture took a longed timed. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was a deoxyribonucleicacid (DNA)-based rapid diagnostic method. The simple DNA isolation method of alkaline lysis needed to optimized for *Candida albicans*, which had a complex cell wall that is difficult to broke. The concentration of NaOH in the alkaline lysis method is one of the things that affects the results of DNA isolation. **Objective:** The purpose of this studied was to determine the effect of optimizing the concentration of NaOH in the alkaline lysis method on the quality and quantity of *Candida albicans* DNA. **Materials and Methods:** This researched is experimental by performed DNA isolation used alkaline lysis method used NaOH concentrations of 1.5 N, 1.75 N, and 2.0 N and controlled (NaOH 0.2 N). Calculation of DNA quality and quantity using a nanodrop spectrophotometer. **Results:** The results showed the ordered of obtained the high to low DNA quality (A260/280) was NaOH concentration 2.0 N>1.75 N>1.5 N>0.2 N (1.86 ± 0.44 - 0.95 ± 0.18) while for DNA quantity was NaOH concentration 2.0 N>1.75 N>1.5 N>0.2 N (187.7 ± 58.3 - 9.6 ± 3.5 ng/ μ l). **Conclusion:** There is an effect of optimizing NaOH concentration on the lysis of fungal cell walls in the alkaline lysis method, namely increased the purity valued and concentration of sample DNA isolation results gradually. The use of 2.0 N NaOH concentration produced the best quality and quantity of DNA, namely 1.86 ± 0.44 for DNA quality and 187.7 ± 58.3 for DNA quantity.

Keywords

Alkaline lysis; *Candida albicans*; DNA Isolation; NaOH concentration



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY SA) license. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The majority of infectious disease-related deaths globally are caused by fungi. The most frequent fungi causing invasive mycotic diseases are *Candida* species, with *Candida albicans* as the main cause of invasive candidiasis (Lee et al., 2020). Prompt diagnosis is necessary due to the increasing incidence of mortality among individuals with invasive candidiasis each year. Histopathology and fungal culture analysis are the gold standard for diagnosing candidiasis (Pitarch et al., 2018). Rapid and accurate diagnosis is necessary as culture methods take two to five days to provide correct findings and lack sensitivity (Sidharta et al., 2021).

A rapid diagnostic technique with good sensitivity and specificity is the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) technique. This technique has been widely used to find diseases caused by harmful bacteria, viruses and fungi. PCR has a sensitivity and specificity of about 85% for identifying fungal infections. For the amplification reaction, the PCR technique requires DNA samples with adequate amount and quality of DNA (Gupta, 2019).

An important step in molecular analysis is the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). A fundamental requirement in molecular analysis is that sufficient and high-quality DNA must be collected in an isolation so that subsequent analysis procedures can run smoothly. Cell wall lysing, separation of DNA from solids such as cellulose and proteins and DNA purification are the three main processes in DNA isolation (Syafaruddin & Santoso, 2020).

One of the conventional methods that can be used to isolate DNA from bacteria or fungi is the alkaline lysis method. When compared to the filter-based kit method, the alkaline lysis method is simpler, cheaper, and easier to use (Delaney et al., 2018). However, there are things that can be done to optimize the success of this method in isolating DNA, especially for species such as *Candida albicans*, which has a complex cell wall that is difficult to break. The concentration of NaOH used in the alkaline lysis method is one of the factors that affect the results of DNA isolation (Hardianto et al., 2015).

Research on DNA isolation by alkaline lysis method has been conducted since the last few decades. Rodriguez et.al. (2017) conducted research on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fungi with a NaOH concentration of 0.2-0.3 N and obtained low DNA quantity results. Another study conducted by Faradisa et. al. (2021) found that the alkaline lysis method with a NaOH concentration of 0.2 N resulted in poor DNA quantity and quality in *Candida albicans* DNA isolation. Low DNA quantity values were also obtained in the research of Barqly et.al. (2021) using 0.2 N NaOH concentration for *Aspergillus niger* DNA isolation.

This study aims to optimize the concentration of NaOH used during the cell lysis process in the alkaline lysis method in *Candida albicans* DNA isolation. The parameters to be compared consist of the quality (purity) of DNA and the quantity (concentration) of DNA, so as to obtain the results of *Candida albicans* DNA isolation with the highest quality and quantity of DNA.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Types of research

This type of research uses experimental methods by performing DNA isolation using alkaline lysis method using different concentrations of NaOH to determine the quality and quantity of *Candida albicans* DNA. This research was conducted at the Molecular Biology Laboratory of Nahdlatul Ulama University Surabaya (UNUSA), Jl. Raya Jemursari No. 51-57, Jemur Wonosari, Kec. Wonocolo, Surabaya City, East Java. Subsection.

2.2. Research methods

The sample in this study was a pure culture of *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231 obtained from the Balai Besar Laboratorium Surabaya (BBLK), Jl. Karangmenjangan No. 18 Surabaya. Materials and tools used included sterile distilled water, buffer solution I (Glucose 1 M; Tris-Cl 1 M, pH 8; EDTA 0.5 M pH 8.0), buffer solution II (NaOH*, SDS 1% (w/v)), buffer solution III (Potassium acetate 5 M; Glacial acetic acid; pH 5.4), chloroform, 70% ethanol, TE buffer (Tris EDTA 50 mM, pH 0.8), 0.8% agarose, TAE 1X buffer (Tris 40 mM; Acetic acid 20 mM; EDTA 1 mM), RedSafe, loading buffer/dye, EtBr (Ethidium Bromide). The NaOH* solutions used were concentrations of 0.2 N (control), 1.5 N, 1.75 N, and 2.0 N (w/v), nanodrop spectrophotometry (*Thermo scientific*), UV-Transilluminator (*PacificImage Electronics*), and gel electrophoresis (*Mupid-eXu*).

This study begins with the rejuvenation of *Candida albicans* fungi obtained from BBLK on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) slant media, then macroscopic observations are made with Gram staining and germ tube tests to ensure the purity of the sample. The germ tube test was carried out by taking one round ose of *Candida albicans* colony and inserted into a serology tube containing 0.5 ml of serum which was then incubated for 1-2 hours in an incubator. Then 1 drop of colony was taken and dripped on a glass object and then observed on a microscope with 10x and 40x objective lens magnification. The germ tube test is said to be positive for *Candida albicans* if a cell shape is found that germinates like a racket (Sophia et al., 2021).

The alkaline lysis method is the method of Faradisa et. al. (2021). DNA isolation begins with the preparation of a fungal suspension from a pure culture of *Candida albicans* grown in 10 ml of Sabouraud Dextrose Broth (SDB) media. Next, the fungus is incubated at 37°C for 3-5 days. Furthermore, DNA isolation with alkaline lysis method that has been optimized. Optimization of the method with NaOH concentration in buffer II solution, namely 1.5 N, 1.75 N, and 2.0 N. As a research control, 0.5 N NaOH concentration was used. DNA isolation begins with a 1.5 ml microtube is filled with 1 ml of the *Candida albicans* fungal culture, which is then centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 2 minutes to create a pellet. After adding 150 µl of buffer II solution, the pellet was once again suspended in 150 µl of buffer I solution. After that, the sample was well combined and allowed to dissolve for 30 seconds. Subsequently, 150 µl of buffer III solution was added and mixed thoroughly. After adding two drops of chloroform, the mixture was centrifuged for two minutes at room temperature (25°C). 400 µl of supernatant was poured into a fresh microtube, along with 1 ml of ethanol. The mixture was then combined and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 4°C at 15,000 rpm. To assess the quality and quantity of DNA, the pellet was dried and then dissolved in 50 µl of DNA TE (Faradisa et al., 2021).

Using a nanodrop spectrophotometer, the quality (purity) and quantity (concentration) of the DNA isolation findings were measured at wavelengths of 260 and 280 nm ($\text{Å}260/\text{Å}280$). The procedure involved preparing a sample of DNA isolation findings, up to 1 µl per treatment, then dripping it onto a nanodrop spectrophotometer. Next, readings of the DNA purity and concentration graph were made at 260 and 280 nm wavelengths. If the DNA purity falls between 1.8 and 2.0 and the concentration is greater than 100 ng/µl, the DNA quantity values are considered acceptable (Faradisa et al., 2021).

After DNA isolation, agarose gel electrophoresis was used to visualize the results. Using 0.8% agarose gel and 100 volts of electricity, electrophoresis was performed for 30 minutes. The gel was wet to a UV transilluminator for 30 minutes after being saturated in EtBr. Then the agarose base was irradiated with ultraviolet using a UV-Transilluminator (Koentjoro et al., 2021).

Utilizing SPSS version 21.0, additional statistical analysis was performed by contrasting the outcomes of qualitative and quantitative DNA testing. After confirming that the data were homogeneous and normal, the One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test and Post Hoc test employing Least Significant Difference (LSD) were performed. If the data does not meet the requirements, the Kruskal Wallis test is carried out and continued with the Mann Whitney test. Data is declared significant or has a difference if $p < 0.05$.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Results

The results of *Candida albicans* fungal rejuvenation were observed microscopically by Gram staining and germ tube test. Figure 1 presents observations of *Candida albicans* fungi in Gram staining and Figure 2 presents observations of *Candida albicans* fungi in the germ tube test.




Figure 1. The results of Gram staining on the sample, namely oval-shaped yeast cells and are gram positive, purple in color.

The results of Gram staining in Figure 1 are in accordance with the research of Indrayati & Sari, (2018) which shows that in Gram staining the *Candida albicans* fungus is Gram positive in the form of an oval with a diameter of $\pm 5 \mu\text{m}$.




Figure 2. The results of *Candida albicans* the germ tube test a the presence of yeast cells in the form of racket-like sprouts (a)

The results of the germ tube test in Figure 2 in accordance with the research of Sophia et al., (2021) that it is said to be positive for *Candida albicans* if a cell shape that germinates like a racket is found. *Candida albicans* fungus that has been rejuvenated and identified, then made a suspension and isolated its DNA using the alkaline lysis method which has optimized the concentration of NaOH in buffer II solution. Each NaOH concentration treatment was carried out 8 times. The quality and quantity test results of DNA isolation with different NaOH concentration treatments can be seen in Figure 3 and Figure 4.




Figure 3. Quality Chart of DNA Isolation Results

Description: Figure 3. shows the DNA quality results in mean values (SD), $n = 8$. DNA quality from purity values for control (0.95 ± 0.18), 1.5 N NaOH concentration (1.47 ± 0.18), 1.75 N NaOH concentration (1.52 ± 0.29), 2.0 N NaOH concentration (1.86 ± 0.44). Data were subjected to One Way Anova statistical test and LSD test ($p < 0.05$). In the notation (a, bc, c, and d) if different indicates the quality of DNA is significantly different.

Figure 3 results of statistical tests of DNA quality in each treatment have significant differences in mean ($p < 0.05$) in the control (KP) with NaOH concentrations of 1.5 N, 1.75 N, and 2 N, at NaOH concentrations of 1.5 N with NaOH concentrations of 2.0 N, and at NaOH concentrations of 1.75 N with NaOH concentrations of 2.0 N. However, there are differences in mean that are not significant ($p > 0.05$) at NaOH concentrations of 1.5 N with NaOH concentrations of 1.75 N. The highest DNA purity results are in the treatment of NaOH concentrations of 2.0 N.




Figure 4. Quantity Chart of DNA Isolation Results

Description: Figure 4 shows the results of DNA quantity in mean values (SD), $n = 8$. DNA quantity from concentration values for control (9.6 ± 3.5), 1.5 N NaOH concentration (30.3 ± 3.4), 1.75 N NaOH concentration (99.0 ± 36.2), 2.0 N NaOH concentration (99.0 ± 36.2). DNA quantity data were subjected to Kruskal wallis statistical test and Mann whitney test ($p < 0.05$). The notation (a, b, c, and d) if different indicates the quantity of DNA is significantly different.

Figure 4 results of statistical tests of DNA quantity obtained that each treatment there is a significant difference in mean ($p < 0.05$). The highest DNA concentration results were found in the treatment of 2.0 N NaOH concentration. Using agarose gel electrophoresis, the isolated DNA is also visible. The figure presents some representative samples of DNA isolation results that have a concentration large enough to be used in the gel electrophoresis method. The results of electrophoresis on the samples mostly show DNA bands that are formed less clear or thin and the presence of smears. This is interpreted that the DNA has a relatively low quality electrophoretically.




Figure 5. Visualization results of DNA in samples using 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis. NaOH concentration 0.2 N (control) (rows 1-4), NaOH concentration 1.75 N (rows 5-8), NaOH concentration 1.5 N (rows 9-12), and NaOH concentration 2.0 N (rows 13-16); DNA marker

3.2 Discussion

Good DNA purity and concentration may be defined as the kind and quantity of DNA needed for the success of later processes like PCR, DNA sequencing, or electrophoresis. The resultant ratio (A260/A280) of 1.8-2.0 is the quality of DNA required in these activities. Meanwhile, DNA concentrations of around 10-20 µg/ml are required for PCR, while DNA concentrations of around 10-50 µg/ml are required for DNA sequencing and electrophoresis (Barqly et al., 2021). Concentration values >100 ng/µl and purity values between 1.8-2.0 indicate good DNA isolation results. High concentration values do not always mean high purity values. This is because the A280 value (contaminant value) has an influence on the purity value. The A280 value indicates contaminants, while the A260 value will have an impact on the DNA concentration value (Iqbal et al., 2016). The results of quality research on the treatment of NaOH concentration 2.0 N (1.86 ± 0.44) obtained results with good categories when compared to the treatment of NaOH concentration 0.2 N (control) (0.95 ± 0.18), NaOH 1.5 N (1.47 ± 0.18), and NaOH 1.75 N (1.52 ± 0.29). DNA quality is influenced by several factors including the incomplete cell wall lysis process and the less than optimal precipitation process. This is believed to be due to the difficulty of performing the lysis process on complex fungal cell walls, where there are protein, carbohydrate, and organic material contaminants derived from fungal cell walls, such as α-glucan, β-glucan, galactomannan, and chitin cannot be destroyed by alkaline solutions such as NaOH with low concentrations, resulting in low quality DNA (Merck, 2021). In less than optimal DNA precipitation can also affect the results of DNA quality which causes protein or RNA contaminants so that DNA cannot be separated from its contaminants (G-Bioscience, 2016). These findings is in accordance with the research of Rodriguez et al., (2016) on the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fungus in the use of isopropanol or potassium acetate cannot produce pure DNA, thus affecting the results of poor DNA quality.

The results of DNA quantity research in the treatment of NaOH concentration 2.0 N (99.0 ± 36.2) obtained results with good categories when compared to the treatment of NaOH concentration 0.2 N (control) (9.6 ± 3.5), NaOH 1.5 N (30.3 ± 3.4), and NaOH 1.75 N (99.0 ± 36.2). One of the variables influencing the amount of DNA is the number of cells collected during the DNA isolation procedure. The structure of the cell wall that protects the fungus determines why the number of cells is less. Fungi are known to have complex and thick cell walls, making it difficult to lyse. The DNA lysis method in an alkaline solution containing NaOH with a concentration of 0.2 N which is unable to lyse the fungal cell wall, is thought to be an influential factor in the low quantity of DNA obtained. This aligns with the study conducted by Faradisa et al. (2021) regarding *Candida albicans* fungal cells using 0.2 N NaOH obtained low DNA quantity results. In the same study, it was also found that the low quantity of *Aspergillus niger* DNA was 1.8 µg/mL (Barqly et al., 2021). Meanwhile, in the research of Zhu & Wu, (2019) using samples of fungi, yeast, plants, and algae containing β-glucan cell walls can be lysed with 2.0 N NaOH and have the highest DNA yield results.

The visualization results of isolated DNA with agarose gel electrophoresis show relatively low quality, because the DNA bands formed are less clear or thin and the presence of smears. The results in the form of thin bands can be influenced by many factors. Among these are the concentration of DNA used for electrophoresis, the quality of the DNA dye, and the buffer used as the mobile phase of electrophoresis. The presence of protein

contaminants or residual solution contaminants in the isolation process or also degraded DNA in the isolation process will produce smear bands. The degradation of DNA products can occur due to a poor isolation process that causes the breakage of bonds between DNA molecules. This is in accordance with the results of the average DNA concentration obtained, which is less than 1.8, which indicates contamination (Dewanata & Mushlih, 2021).

The optimization results of the alkaline lysis method on the concentration of NaOH used during the cell lysis process showed good results to be implemented in molecular laboratories. There are several things that need to be considered to maximize the results of the quality and quantity of DNA in *Candida albicans* fungi, namely before carrying out the DNA isolation process, make sure if the number of fungi must be obtained in sufficient quantities. At the stage of washing the DNA pellet with 70% ethanol and followed by evaporation, it needs to be done until the pellet is completely dry. This is because the remaining ethanol will inhibit the next process, namely PCR (Jue et al., 2020).

4. Conclusions

The conclusion drawn from the research findings is that the impact of optimizing NaOH concentrations of 1.5 N, 1.75 N, and 2.0 N on the lysis process of fungal cell walls in the alkaline lysis method, namely an increase in the value of quality and quantity of sample DNA isolation results gradually. The use of 2.0 N NaOH concentration produced the best quality and quantity of DNA, namely 1.86 ± 0.44 for DNA quality and 187.7 ± 58.3 for DNA quantity.

Acknowledgments: Thanks to the University of Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya for assisting the research process.

Funding: This research was perform using private funding

Conflicts of Interest: There are no research conflicts

Author Contributions: NDP: Designing research, Performing laboratory work, Analyzing data, Obtaining funding. EP: Research supervisor.

5. References

- Barqly, H., Risandiansyah, R., & Aini, N. (2021). Perbandingan Kuantitaa dan Kualitas Isolat DNA *Aspergillus niger* Menggunakan Filter Based Kit, Alkaline Lysis, dan Heat Treatment. *Jurnal Bio Komplementer Medicine*, 8(2), 1-9. <http://repository.unisma.ac.id/handle/123456789/3883>
- Delaney, S., Murphy, R., & Walsh, F. (2018). A Comparison of Methods for the Extraction of Plasmids Capable of Conferring Antibiotic Resistance in a Human Pathogen From Complex Broiler Cecal Samples. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9(August). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01731>
- Dewanata, P. A., & Mushlih, M. (2021). Differences in DNA Purity Test Using UV-Vis Spectrophotometer and Nanodrop Spectrophotometer in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation Studies*, 15, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.21070/ijins.v15i.553>
- Faradisa, A. M., Aini, N., & Risandiansyah, R. (2021). Perbandingan Metode Isolasi DNA Filter Based Kit, Alkaline Lysis, dan Heat Treatment Berdasarkan Kuantitas dan Kualitas Pada *Candida albicans*.

- Jurnal Bio Komplementer Medicine,* 8(2).
<http://riset.unisma.ac.id/index.php/jbm/oai>
- G-Bioscience. (2016). Plasmid Isolation (Alkaline Lysis). In *Plasmid Isolation (Alkaline Lysis) Teacher's Guidebook* (p. 20). <https://doi.org/10.53699/joimedlabs.v5i2.222>
- Gupta, N. (2019). DNA Extraction and Polymerase Chain Reaction. *Journal of Cytology*, 36(2), 116-117. [10.4103/JOC.JOC_110_18](https://doi.org/10.4103/JOC.JOC_110_18)
- Hardianto, D., Indarto, A., & Sasongko, N. D. (2015). Optimasi Metode Lisis Alkali Untuk Meningkatkan Konsentrasi Plasmid. *Jurnal Bioteknologi & Biosains Indonesia (JBBI)*, 2(2), 60. <https://doi.org/10.29122/jbbi.v2i2.510>
- Indrayati, S., & Sari, R. I. (2018). Gambaran Candida albicans Pada Bak Penampung Air Di Toilet SDN 17 Batu Banyak Kabupaten Solok. *Jurnal Kesehatan Perintis (Perintis's Health Journal)*, 5(2), 159-164. <https://doi.org/10.33653/jkp.v5i2.148>
- Iqbal, M., Dwi Buwono, I., & Kurniawati, N. (2016). Analisis Perbandingan Metode Isolasi DNA Untuk Deteksi White Spot Syndrome Virus (WSSV) Pada Udang Vaname (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) Comparative Analysis of DNA Isolation Methods for Detection White Spot Syndrome Virus (WSSV) in White Shrimp (*Litopenaeus vann.* *Jurnal Perikanan Kelautan*, VII(1), 54-65. ISSN:2088-3137
- Jue, E., Witters, D., & Ismagilov, R. . (2020). Two-phase wash to solve the ubiquitous contaminant-carryover problem in commercial nucleic-acid extraction kits. *Scientific Report*, 10: 1940. <https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-020-58586-3>
- Koentjoro, M., Wilujeng, H., Dilla, A., & Prasetyo, E. (2021). Modifikasi Metode Isolasi DNA Cetyl Trimethylammonium Bromide (CTAB) Untuk Sampel Epitel Pipi Manusia. *Journal of Indonesian Medical Laboratory and Science*, 2(2), 115-127. <https://doi.org/10.53699/joimedlabs.v2i2.54>
- Lee, Y., Puumala, E., Robbins, N., & Cowen, L. E. (2020). Antifungal Drug Resistance: Molecular Mechanisms in *Candida albicans* and Beyond. *Chemical Reviews*, 121(6), 3390-3411. [10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00199](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00199)
- Merck. (2021). *Genomic DNA Preparation Troubleshooting*. <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/ID/en/technical-documents/technical-article/genomics/dna-and-rna-purification/problems-during-genomic-dna-preparation>
- Pitarch, A., Nombela, C., & Gil, C. (2018). Diagnosis of Invasive Candidiasis: From Gold Standard Methods to Promising Leading-edge Technologies. *Curr Top Med Chem*, 18(16), 92-1375. [10.2174/1568026618666181025093146](https://doi.org/10.2174/1568026618666181025093146)
- Rodriguez, B. V, Malczewskyj, E. T., Cabiya, J. M., Lewis, L. K., & Maeder, C. (2016). Identification of RNase-Resistant RNAs in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Extracts: Separation from Chromosomal DNA by Selective Precipitation. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 492, 69-75. [10.1016/j.ab.2015.09.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2015.09.017)
- Sidharta, B. R. ., Suparyatmo, J., & Astuti, A. . (2021). C-Reactive Protein as A Fungal Infection Marker in Acute Leukemia Patients. *Indonesia Journal Clinic Pathologi Medic Laboratory*, 27(2), 212. <https://doi.org/10.24293/ijcpml.v27i2.1639>
- Sophia, A., Suraini, S., & Pangestu, M. . (2021). Ekstrak Daun Jeruk Purut (*Citrus hystrix* D.C) Mampu Menghambat Pertumbuhan *Candida albicans*. *Jurnal Kesehatan Perintis (Perintis's Health Journal)*, 8(2), 159-165. <https://doi.org/10.33653/jkp.v8i2.643>
- Syafaruddin, S., & Santoso, T. J. (2020). Optimasi Teknik Isolasi dan Purifikasi DNA yang Efisien dan Efektif pada Kemiri Sunan (*Reutalis trisperma* (Blanco) Airy Shaw). *Jurnal Penelitian Tanaman Industri*, 17(1), 11. <https://doi.org/10.21082/jlitri.v17n1.2011.11-17>
- Zhu, Q., & Wu, S. (2019). Water-soluble β -1 , 3-glucan prepared by degradation of curdlan with hydrogen peroxide. *Food Chemistry*, 283, 302-304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.01.036>